

## 1. Title of the Project

‘What we have is so special’: Towards an understanding of the parasocial relationship between social media influencers and their followers

## 2. Coordinators

Dr. Sara Pabian (TSHD, DCC)

Dr. Mingyi Hou (TSHD, DCU)

Dr. Loes Janssen (TSHD, DCC)

## 3. Project Summary

Over the last decade, the exponential growth of social media like YouTube and Instagram has fueled the emergence of ‘social media influencers’. These popular content creators have built a sizeable network of followers on diverse social media platforms, by successfully branding their personal interests and expertise in niches like fashion, fitness, and gaming<sup>1-4</sup>. Through creating short-form content (e.g., an Instagram post), vlogging (e.g., a YouTube video), or blogging (e.g., a blog post on their personal website), influencers provide insight into their personal everyday lives, their opinions, and their experiences. Influencer content is immensely popular among young adults (18-25 years old), and a significant part of their daily media consumption<sup>5</sup>.

A growing body of empirical evidence shows that social media influencers have a significant impact on young adults’ attitudes, intentions, and behaviors<sup>1,6-7</sup>. Influencers attract their viewers’ attention through the performance of ordinariness, intimacy, and equality<sup>8-9</sup>, and their authenticity is reflected in ‘a palpable sense of truthful self-expression’, ‘a connection with and responsiveness to the audience’, and ‘honest engagement with commodity goods and brands’<sup>10</sup>. It is therefore not surprising that influencers’ online word-of-mouth has been widely adopted as a powerful marketing instrument<sup>11</sup>. Not only commercial organizations, but also governments and health-promoting institutions are trying to harness the persuasive power of social media influencers<sup>12</sup>. During the COVID-19 pandemic for example, governments have partnered with influencers to promote social distancing among youth<sup>13</sup>.

Despite the growing academic attention for influencer effects, surprisingly little is known about an aspect that seems key to the persuasive success of influencer-audience communication: the parasocial relationship between the influencer and their individual followers<sup>14</sup>. Most influencer-follower relationships are parasocial in nature, which refers to a seemingly personal relationship that one can experience with a (social) media character, as if the person is a friend, whereas the influencer knows little to nothing about the follower<sup>15</sup>. Academic research suggests that the stronger the perceived bond with an influencer, the more likely it is that the follower will take on their advice<sup>14</sup>. However, it is still unclear how this parasocial bond is formed, and which factors contribute to its formation and maintenance. Building on and combining insights from communication studies and culture studies could lead to a deeper understanding of the drivers behind the formation and maintenance of this type of relationship. These new insights may not only benefit communication and culture studies scholars, but also practitioners who partner with influencers in their persuasive campaigns.

Therefore, the proposed project aims to formulate evidence-based answers to the following central research question: *Which factors contribute to the establishment of the parasocial bond that followers may experience between themselves and a social media influencer?*

Our theoretical framework will combine empirical evidence and theoretical perspectives from the fields of both communication science and culture studies, such as influencer marketing<sup>16-18,7,19</sup>, online

community building<sup>20-21</sup>, social influence<sup>22-23</sup>, media psychology<sup>24</sup>, and self-representation and celebrification<sup>25-28</sup>.

**Methodology: Qualitative photo-elicitation interviews**

In this project, we aim to identify which characteristics may affect a follower’s perception of the parasocial bond between him-or herself and the influencer. The limited number of studies in the domain of communication sciences that have investigated this relationship have mainly focused on follower’s perceptions of the characteristics of the influencer, such as similarity<sup>29</sup> and trustworthiness<sup>17</sup>. The proposed project wants to combine these findings with insights from culture studies. Cultural studies of self-representation and celebrification for example identified strategies influencers use to engage and invite followers, such as courting behaviors (responding to comments or publically recognizing comments in new posts) <sup>26-27</sup>. These behaviors seem to play an important role in online relationship formation in online communities<sup>29</sup>, however, it is not investigated yet whether these behaviors also contribute to the formation of a parasocial relationship with a social media influencer. Another insight from culture studies is the importance of the dynamic of the follower community<sup>21</sup>. Culture studies argue that the influencer-follower relationship is not a straightforward dyad, involving only a lone follower and an individual influencer. The relationship can become ‘multisocial’ when followers interact with each other<sup>30</sup>. Research indicates that a positive perception of other ‘community members’ influences one’s commitment to an online community<sup>29,31</sup>, which might also be true for parasocial bonding with a social media influencer.

To understand how followers describe their bond with a social media influencer (e.g., which meaning is given to this relationship) and which relationship maintenance behaviors of the influencer and audience perceptions determine this bond, photo-elicitation interviews will be administered among 20 emerging adults (10 males and 10 females) between 18 and 25 years old. Photo-elicitation interviewing is a research method that uses images to elicit discussion in semi-structured interviews<sup>32</sup>. Participants will be asked to open the Instagram account of their favorite influencer and select and discuss posts of the influencer. This will facilitate communication between the interviewer and the participant and trigger rich conversations<sup>33</sup>.

In sum, this project brings together different perspectives on parasocial relationship formation, integrating theoretical as well as methodological expertise from culture studies and communication sciences. This interdisciplinary collaboration will result in a joint academic publication, which may serve as input for a joint funding application.

**4. Project timeline and deliverables**

Activities	Months	Deliverables
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Theory development and ethical screening</li> <li>● Preparing interview script and contact prospective interviewees</li> <li>● Data collection and analysis</li> </ul>	Sept-Oct  Oct-Nov  Nov-Jan Feb-Mar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Abstract submission Etmaal van de Communicatiewetenschap* (annual Dutch and Flemish communication conference). Deadline: November. Conference: February</li> <li>● Diggitt Magazine article</li> <li>● Paper submission IAMCR (annual meeting of the International Association of Media and</li> </ul>

		Communication Research)*
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Write journal manuscript</li> </ul>	May-Jun	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Manuscript submission New Media &amp; Society</li> <li>• Presentation Research Traineeships symposium</li> <li>• Presentation Peers (DCU PhD platform)</li> </ul>

(\*) Both conferences bring together not only communication scholars, but also scholars from culture studies and other fields that are relevant for the present project such as celebrities studies and social psychology.

## 5. Research Trainee Profile

**General tasks.** The research trainee will (1) prepare and test the materials for the interviews, (2) collect and code data, (3) analyze data, and (4) report research results. We want to submerge and involve our research trainee in all steps involved in conducting a scientific study in order to gain as much experience as possible, while being supported by us, senior researchers, who are experienced in the proposed research topic, as well as the proposed methodology.

**Research Trainee Profile.** We are looking for an excellent and enthusiastic student with experience and/or interest in qualitative research methods. Both bachelor, master, and research master students with at least a 7.5 grade average, and who have obtained at least 120 ECTS are eligible to apply. The trainee should be available for at least 1 day a week between September 2020 and June 2021, while being enrolled at the University, and should have excellent communication skills.

**Application information.** Applications, including a motivation letter and resume (in English), should be sent to all project coordinators: dr. Sara Pabian ([s.j.r.pabian@tilburguniversity.edu](mailto:s.j.r.pabian@tilburguniversity.edu)), dr. Mingyi Hou ([m.hou@tilburguniversity.edu](mailto:m.hou@tilburguniversity.edu)), and dr. Loes Janssen ([l.janssen@tilburguniversity.edu](mailto:l.janssen@tilburguniversity.edu)). In the motivation letter, clearly indicate your interest in the topic of the traineeship as well as the proposed research method. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions using the above email addresses.

## 6. References

1. Chapple, C., & Cownie, F. (2017). An investigation into viewers' trust in and response towards disclosed paid-for-endorsements by YouTube lifestyle vloggers. *Journal of Promotional Communications*, 5, 110-136.
2. De Veirman, M., Cauberghe, V., & Hudders, L. (2017). Marketing through Instagram influencers: The impact of number of followers and product divergence on brand attitude. *International Journal of Advertising*, 36(5), 798–828. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2017.1348035>
3. Khamis, S., Ang, L., & Welling, R. (2017). Self-branding, 'micro-celebrity' and the rise of social media influencers. *Celebrity Studies*, 8, 191-208. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19392397.2016.1218292>
4. Lin, H.C., Bruning, P. F., & Swarna, H. (2018). Using online opinion leaders to promote the hedonic and utilitarian value of products and services. *Business Horizons*, 61, 431-442.
5. Newberry, C. (2019). 37 Instagram stats that matter to marketers in 2020. Retrieved from <https://blog.hootsuite.com/instagram-statistics/> on May 13, 2020.

6. Djafarova, E., & Rushworth, C. (2017). Exploring the credibility of online celebrities' Instagram profiles in influencing the purchase decisions of young female users. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 68, 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.11.009>
7. Schouten, A.P., Janssen, L., & Verspaget, M. (2019). Celebrity vs. Influencer endorsements in advertising: The role of identification, credibility, and Product-Endorser fit. *International Journal of Advertising*, 39(2), 258-281. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2019.1634898>
8. Gamson, J. (2011). The unwatched life is not worth living: The elevation of the ordinary in celebrity culture. *PMLA* 126(4): 1061–1069.
9. Turner, G. (2014). *Understanding Celebrity*. London, United Kingdom: Sage.
10. Marwick, A. (2013). They're really profound women, they're entrepreneurs': conceptions of authenticity in fashion blogging. Paper presented at the 7th International AIII Conference on Weblogs and Social Media (ICWSM), July 8, Cambridge, MA, USA.
11. Mediakix (2017). Instagram influencer marketing is a 1 billion dollar industry. Retrieved from <http://mediakix.com/2017/03/instagram-influencer-marketing-industry-size-how-big/#gs.QAEVJdQ>
12. Janssen, L., Croes, E. A. J., Schouten, A. P., & Fisser, E. (2019). #safesex – De effectiviteit van social media influencers als ambassadeurs van gezond seksueel gedrag. NWO KIEM proposal. KI.18.032
13. Desaulniers, S. (2020, April 10). How Instagram influencers are trying to slow the spread of coronavirus. CNBS, <https://www.cnbc.com/2020/04/10/coronavirus-instagram-influencers-try-to-slow-covid-19-spread.html>, viewed on May, 12 2020.
14. Sokolova, K. & Kefi, H. (2020). Instagram and YouTube bloggers promote it, why should I buy? How credibility and parasocial interaction influence purchase intentions. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 53, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.01.011>
15. Giles, D. C. (2002). Parasocial interaction: A review of the literature and a model for future research, *Media Psychology*, 4, 279-305. [https://doi.org/10.1207/S1532785XMEP0403\\_04](https://doi.org/10.1207/S1532785XMEP0403_04)
16. Janssen, L., Schouten, A. P., & Croes, E. A. J. (2020). #safesex – The effectiveness of social media influencers as ambassadors of sexual health behavior. Paper to be presented at the 33<sup>rd</sup> Bled eConference.
17. Ouvrein, G., Pabian, S., & De Backer, C.J.S. (in preparation). The web of influencers: A marketing-audience classification of social media influencers.
18. Pabian, S., Jacobs, L., & De Backer, C.J.S. (2020). *An investigation of the role of parasocial interaction in understanding purchase intentions of followers of Instagram influencers*. Paper presented at the Etmaal van de Communicatiewetenschap, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 6-7 February 2020.
19. Schouten, A. P., Janssen, L., & Croes, E. A. J. (in preparation). Influencer advertising on Instagram: Influencer popularity and product-influencer fit affect influencer and product evaluations via credibility and identification.

20. Burgess, J., & Green, J. (2018). *YouTube: Online video and participatory culture*. Cambridge, MA: Polity Press.
21. Blommaert, J., van de Sanden-Szabla, G., Prochazka, O., Maly, I., Kunming, L., & Liu, L. (2019). *Online with Garfinkel. Essays on social action in the online-offline nexus*. (Tilburg Papers in Culture Studies; No. 229).  
[https://www.tilburguniversity.edu/sites/tiu/files/download/TPCS\\_229-Blommaert.pdf](https://www.tilburguniversity.edu/sites/tiu/files/download/TPCS_229-Blommaert.pdf)
22. Horton, D., & Wohl, R.R. (1956). Mass communication and para-social interaction. *Psychiatry*, 19(3), 215-229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00332747.1956.11023049>
23. Kelman, H.C. (1958). Compliance, identification and internalization: Three processes of attitude change. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 2, 51-60. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002200275800200106>
24. Giles, D. C. (2002). Parasocial interaction: A review of the literature and a model for future research, *Media Psychology*, 4, 279-305. [https://doi.org/10.1207/S1532785XMEP0403\\_04](https://doi.org/10.1207/S1532785XMEP0403_04)
25. Abidin, C., (2018). *Internet Celebrity: Understanding Fame Online*. Bingley, United Kingdom: Emerald Publishing Limited.
26. Hou, M. (2019). Social media celebrity and the institutionalization of YouTube. *Convergence*, 25(3), 534-553. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856517750368>
27. Jerslev, A. (2016). Media Times | in the time of the microcelebrity: Celebrification and the YouTuber zoella. *International Journal of Communication*, 10(2016), 5233-5251.
28. Marwick, A., & boyd, D. (2011). To see and be seen: Celebrity practice on Twitter. *Convergence*, 17(2), 139-158. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856510394539>
29. Wang, E.S.-T. & Chen, L.S.-L. (2012). Forming relationship commitments to online communities: The role of social motivations. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28,(2) 570-575.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2011.11.002>
30. Hills, M. (2015). From para-social to multisocial interaction. In P.D.Marshall & S.Redmond (eds), *A companion to celebrity*, pp.463-482. Oxford, United Kingdom: Willey Blackwell.
31. Hung, K. (2020). Celebrity and Influencer in a Fan Economy: Unfolding the Fans' Roles in Enhancing Endorsement Effects. In R.A. Dunn (ed.) *Multidisciplinary Perspectives on Media Fandom*. pp. 323-340. Hershey, PA:IGI Global
32. Harper, D. (2002). Talking about pictures: A case for photo elicitation. *Visual Studies*, 17(1), 13–26. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1472586022013734>.
33. Meo, A. I. (2010). Picturing students' habitus: The advantages and limitations of photo-elicitation interviewing in a qualitative study in the city of Buenos Aires. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 9(2), 149–171. <https://doi.org/10.1177/160940691000900203>
34. Dibble, J.J., Hartmann, T., & Rosaen, S.F. (2016). Parasocial interaction and parasocial relationship: Conceptual clarification and a critical assessment of measures. *Human Communication Research*, 42(1), 21-44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hcre.12063>

